parties to the investigations under the APO issued in the investigation, provided that the application is made not later than seven days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Conference.—The Commission's Director of Operations has scheduled a conference in connection with these investigations for 9:30 a.m. on March 17, 1999, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC. Parties wishing to participate in the conference should contact Elizabeth Haines (202-205-3200) not later than March 15, 1999, to arrange for their appearance. Parties in support of the imposition of antidumping duties in these investigations and parties in opposition to the imposition of such duties will each be collectively allocated one hour within which to make an oral presentation at the conference. A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the conference.

Written submissions.—As provided in sections 201.8 and 207.15 of the Commission's rules, any person may submit to the Commission on or before March 22, 1999, a written brief containing information and arguments pertinent to the subject matter of the investigations. Parties may file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the conference no later than three days before the conference. If briefs or written testimony contain BPI, they must conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules. The Commission's rules do not authorize filing of submissions with the Secretary by facsimile or electronic means.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

**Authority:** These investigations are being conducted under authority of title VII of the Tariff Act of 1930; this notice is published pursuant to section 207.12 of the Commission's rules.

Issued: February 26, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

#### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–5260 Filed 3–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-149 (Review)]

#### **Barium Chloride From China**

#### Determination

On the basis of the record ¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission determines,² pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on barium chloride from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.

#### Background

The Commission instituted this review on October 1, 1998, (63 F.R. 52750) and determined on January 7, 1999, that it would conduct an expedited review (64 F.R. 3308, Jan. 21, 1999).

The Commission is scheduled to transmit its determination in this investigation to the Secretary of Commerce on March 4, 1999. The views of the Commission will be contained in USITC Publication 3163 (March 1999), entitled Barium Chloride from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-149 (Review).

Issued: February 24, 1999.

By order of the Commission.

#### Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 99–5258 Filed 3–2–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-539-A (Final)]

### **Uranium From Kazakhstan**

**AGENCY:** United States International Trade Commission.

**ACTION:** Continuance and scheduling of the final phase of an antidumping investigation.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission hereby gives notice of the continuance and

scheduling of the final phase of antidumping investigation No. 731–TA– 539–A (Final) under section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. § 1673d(b)) (the Act) to determine whether an industry in the United States is materially injured or threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of less-than-fair-value imports from Kazakhstan of uranium, provided for in subheadings 2612.10.00, 2844.10.10, 2844.10.20, 2844.10.50, and 2844.20.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States.1

For further information concerning the conduct of this phase of the investigation, hearing procedures, and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207). EFFECTIVE DATE: January 15, 1999.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Larry Reavis (202-205-3185), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW, Washington, DC 20436. Hearingimpaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205–1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202–205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server (http:// www.usitc.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Background**

The final phase of this investigation is being continued and scheduled in response to the Department of Commerce's notice that it is resuming its antidumping investigation (64 FR 2877, January 19, 1999) as a result of the Government of Kazakhstan's termination of its suspension agreement on uranium. The original investigation was initiated on November 8, 1991 (pursuant to a petition filed by the Ad Hoc Committee of Domestic Uranium

 $<sup>^{\</sup>rm l}$  The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR § 207.2(f)).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Commissioner Crawford dissenting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For purposes of this investigation, Commerce has defined the subject merchandise as "natural uranium in the form of uranium ores and concentrates; natural uranium metal and natural uranium compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cernets), ceramic products and mixtures containing natural uranium or natural uranium compounds; uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup> and its compounds; alloys, dispersions (including cermets), ceramic products, and mixtures containing uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup> or compounds or uranium enriched in U<sup>235</sup>. HEU [highly enriched uranium] is included in the scope of the investigation."